#### FEMA Region VIII Final June 25, 2002

# Instructions for using the attached Crosswalk Reference Document for Review and Submission of Local Mitigation Plans to the State Hazard Mitigation Officer

Attached is a crosswalk reference document, which is based on the <u>Draft Report State and Local Plan Interim Criteria Under the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000</u> published by FEMA HQ and dated March 26, 2002. This document was based on the <u>Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000, 44 CFR Parts 201 and 206; Interim Final Rule.</u>

The purpose of the crosswalk is to provide a tool to local jurisdictions in developing and submitting Mitigation Plans under Section 322 of the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000. The crosswalk can be used to assist local or multi-jurisdiction entities in the process of developing and reviewing Local or Multi-jurisdictional plan(s). Each Local or Multi-jurisdictional plan should be reviewed by the pertinent local jurisdictional entity prior to submitting them to the respective state. In addition as stated in the 44 CFR Parts 201 and 206 Hazard Mitigation Grant Program; Interim Final Rule §201.6(d)(1) "Plans must be submitted to the State Hazard Mitigation Officer for initial review and coordination. The State will then send the plan to the appropriate FEMA Regional Office for formal review and approval." The form located on Page 13 provides for local entity review and state review prior to the state submitting the plan to FEMA Region VIII for formal review and approval.

Tribes may submit hazard mitigation plans through their respective states or they can directly submit their plans to FEMA Region VIII. This means they can write a Local or Multi-jurisdictional Plan as a sub-grantee or they may write a Standard or Enhanced State Plan as a Grantee. When tribes are considering how they want to develop and submit their plans, they need to consider whether or not they want to be Grantees directly from FEMA or Sub-grantees through their respective states. The deciding factor would be how they want to apply for and receive Predisaster Mitigation Grant projects, Hazard Mitigation Grant Program projects or Flood Mitigation Assistance projects. Interested tribes can determine this by talking with their State Hazard Mitigation Officer or their respective FEMA Regional Federal Insurance and Mitigation Administration (FIMA) Division. In any case, each tribe should review their own plans before submitting them to their state or FEMA Regional office.

Following are explanations of each column.

- Column 1 references: The pertinent section from 44 CFR Parts 201 and 206 Interim Final Rule
- Column 2 directly quotes the <u>44 CFR Parts 201 and 206 Interim Final Rule.</u>
- Column 3 is for the tribe and/or local jurisdiction to indicate the page number(s)/Annex or Section in their plan where the requirement has been met.
- Column 4 indicates on what page or pages more detailed information can be found regarding the requirements located in the <u>State and Local Plan Interim Criteria Under the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000</u> document.
- Column 5 provides space for State/FEMA comments and for scoring and approval of the plan.

## LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN PROFILE STATE/FEMA REGION VIII

Point of Contact: Virginia Hanson	Date of 4/1/04	Submission to State:		
Title: Risk Analyst				
Agency: Butte-Silver Bow		NFIP Status (Single	e Jurisdiction)	
Phone Number: 406-497-6432	Participating Non-Participating			
Multi-jurisdiction: XYES NO (If yes, list each jurisdiction below:)	N/A*	NFIP Status (for 1	mapped communities)	
1. Butte-Silver Bow City-County, Montana		Participating 🔀	Non-Participating	
2. Town of Walkerville, Montana		Participating	Non-Participating	
3.		Participating	Non-Participating	
4.		Participating	Non-Participating	
5.		Participating	Non-Participating	
6.		Participating	Non-Participating	
7.		Participating	Non-Participating	
8.		Participating	Non-Participating	

#### **Local Plan POC:**

Please complete the information requested on this profile form. The form will be submitted with your plan to the state. Utilizing the attached crosswalk, compare your local plan content with the criteria outlined. Please note under the column heading "Page Number(s) in Plan" the page(s) where the criteria can be found in the plan being submitted for review. Thank you.

<sup>\*</sup> Not applicable for communities not mapped and/or who do not have an identified flood risk.

FEMA Region VIII
Local Mitigation Plans
Part 3 Plan Review Criteria
Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000
44 CFR Parts 201 and 206; Interim Final Rule

Section from the Interim Final Rule Part 201	Requirement as taken from the Interim Final Rule	Indicate where the information is located in the Basic Plan and/or Annex and Section or Page #(s)	For further explanation and examples see Page # indicated below from the State and Local Plan Interim Criteria Under the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 Document	Scoring System  Met/Not Met Unsatisfactory Needs Improvement Satisfactory Outstanding  STATE/FEMA Reviewer Comments
Prerequisites	NOTE: All prerequisites must be met before the plan can be approved.		3-1 (worksheet) 4-5	
Adoption by the Local Governing Body Requirement §201.6(c)(5)	[The local hazard mitigation plan shall include] documentation that the plan has been formally adopted by the governing body of the jurisdiction requesting approval of the plan (e.g., City Council, County Commissioner, Tribal Council)	N/A	3-2 (worksheet) 4-5	Montana: Not Applicable FEMA:
Multi-Jurisdictional Plan Adoption  (Where Applicable)  Requirement §201.6(c)(5)	For multi-jurisdictional plans, each jurisdiction requesting approval of the plan must document that it has been formally adopted.	Section 2	3-3 (worksheet) 4-5	Montana: Satisfactory – The resolutions are present and signed for both the City/County as well as the Town of Walkerville  FEMA:

		Indicate where the information is located in the	For further explanation and examples see Page # indicated below from the State	Scoring System  Met/Not Met Unsatisfactory Needs Improvement Satisfactory Outstanding
Section from the Interim Final Rule Part 201	Requirement as taken from the Interim Final Rule	Basic Plan and/or Annex and Section or Page #(s)	and Local Plan Interim Criteria Under the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 Document	STATE/FEMA Reviewer Comments
Multi-Jurisdictional	Multi-jurisdictional plans	4-1,		Montana: Not Applicable
Planning Participation	(e.g., watershed plans) may be	App. B,	3-4	
(Where Applicable)	accepted, as appropriate, as long as each jurisdiction has participated in the process	App. C	(worksheet) 4-5	FEMA:
Requirement	Statewide plans will not be			
§201.6(a)(3)	accepted as multi-			
	jurisdictional plans.			

FEMA Region VIII Local Mitigation Plans Part 3 Plan Review Criteria Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 44 CFR Parts 201 and 206; Interim Final Rule

Section from the Interim Final Rule Part 201	Requirement as taken from the Interim Final Rule	Indicate where the information is located in the Basic Plan and/or Annex and Section or Page #(s)	For further explanation and examples see Page # indicated below from the State and Local Plan Interim Criteria Under the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 Document	Scoring System  Met/Not Met Unsatisfactory Needs Improvement Satisfactory Outstanding  STATE/FEMA Reviewer Comments
Planning Process § 201.6(b)(1-3):	[the planning process shall include:] (1) an opportunity for public comment on the plan during drafting stage and prior to plan approval(2) input includes neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, and agencies having authority to regulate development including businesses, academia and other private and non-profit interests(3) as appropriate, review and incorporate existing plans, studies, reports and technical information.		3-5 (worksheet) 4-5	

3-6

FEMA Region VIII Local Mitigation Plans Part 3 Plan Review Criteria Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 44 CFR Parts 201 and 206; Interim Final Rule

Section from the Interim Final Rule Part 201	Requirement as taken from the Interim Final Rule	Indicate where the information is located in the Basic Plan and/or Annex and Section or Page #(s)	For further explanation and examples see Page # indicated below from the State and Local Plan Interim Criteria Under the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 Document	Scoring System  Met/Not Met Unsatisfactory Needs Improvement Satisfactory Outstanding  STATE/FEMA Reviewer Comments
Documentation of the Planning Process  Requirement §201.6(c)(1):	[The plan must document] the planning process used to develop the plan, including how it was prepared, who was involved in the process, and how the public was involved.	4-1, App. B, App. C, App. D	3-6 (worksheet) 4-5	Montana: Outstanding – An excellent mix of LEPC and community involvement, to include initial meeting and final plan approval meeting. Well documented, please see appendixes B, C, and D.  FEMA:
Risk Assessment			3-9 (worksheet) 4-5	
Identifying Hazards  Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i):	[The risk assessment shall include a] description of the typeof all natural hazards that can affect the jurisdiction	5-1 thru 5-4	3-10 (worksheet) 4-5	Montana: Satisfactory – A total of eighteen hazards were identified .  FEMA:

FEMA Region VIII
Local Mitigation Plans
Part 3 Plan Review Criteria
Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000
44 CFR Parts 201 and 206; Interim Final Rule

Section from the Interim Final Rule Part 201	Requirement as taken from the Interim Final Rule	Indicate where the information is located in the Basic Plan and/or Annex and Section or Page #(s)	For further explanation and examples see Page # indicated below from the State and Local Plan Interim Criteria Under the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 Document	Scoring System  Met/Not Met Unsatisfactory Needs Improvement Satisfactory Outstanding  STATE/FEMA Reviewer Comments
<b>Profiling Hazard Events</b>	[The risk assessment shall	5-14 thru	3-14	Montana: Outstanding, all eighteen hazards in the risk
Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i):	include a] description of thelocation and extent of all natural hazards that can affect the jurisdiction. The plan shall include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events.	5-56 (see the first section of each hazard), App. A	(worksheet) 4-5	assessment were then profiled, see pages 5-14 through 5-56. <b>FEMA:</b>
Assessing Vulnerability:	[The risk assessment shall	5-4 thru	2.10	
<b>Identifying Assets</b>	include a] description of the jurisdiction's vulnerability to	5-13, 5-14 thru	3-18	
Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(A):	the hazards described in paragraph (c)(2)(i) of this section. This description shall include an overall summary of each hazard and its impact on	5-56 (see the vulnerabi lity section	(worksheet) 4-5	
(cont. on page 8)	the community.	of each hazard), App. A		

Section from the Interim Final Rule Part 201	Requirement as taken from the Interim Final Rule	Indicate where the information is located in the Basic Plan and/or Annex and Section or Page #(s)	For further explanation and examples see Page # indicated below from the State and Local Plan Interim Criteria Under the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 Document	Scoring System  Met/Not Met Unsatisfactory Needs Improvement Satisfactory Outstanding  STATE/FEMA Reviewer Comments
(cont. from page 7)  Assessing Vulnerability: Identifying Assets  Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(A):	The plan should describe vulnerability in terms of:  • The types and numbers of existing and future buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the identified hazard areas		3-18 (worksheet) 4-5	Montana: Outstanding – For each hazard analyzed there is a separate section devoted to vulnerability. The tables on pages show critical facilities for various categories i.e. transportation energy and communications.  FEMA:
Assessing Vulnerability: Estimating Potential Losses  Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(B):	[The plan should describe vulnerability in terms of an] estimate of the potential dollar losses to vulnerable structures identified in paragraph (c)(2)(i)(A) of this section and a description of the methodology used to prepare the estimate	5-13, 5-14 thru 5-56 (see the vulnerabi lity section of each hazard), 5-57, App. A	3-22 (worksheet) 4-5	Montana: Outstanding – In addition to pages and appendix indicated on the crosswalk see pages5-4 thru 5-7by the FEMA:

FEMA Region VIII
Local Mitigation Plans
Part 3 Plan Review Criteria
Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000
44 CFR Parts 201 and 206; Interim Final Rule

Section from the Interim Final Rule Part 201	Requirement as taken from the Interim Final Rule	Indicate where the information is located in the Basic Plan and/or Annex and Section or Page #(s)	For further explanation and examples see Page # indicated below from the State and Local Plan Interim Criteria Under the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 Document	Scoring System  Met/Not Met Unsatisfactory Needs Improvement Satisfactory Outstanding  STATE/FEMA Reviewer Comments
Assessing Vulnerability: Analyzing Development Trends  Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(C):	[The plan should describe vulnerability in terms of] providing a general description of land uses and development trends within the community so that mitigation options can be considered in future land use decisions.	5-11 thru 5-12, App. A Populatio n Density Map, App. A Wildfire Map (Owner- ship Map)	3-24 (worksheet) 4-5	Montana: Outstanding see pages 5-11 and 5-12.  FEMA:
Multi-Jurisdictional Risk Assessment Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(iii):	For multi-jurisdictional plans, the risk assessment section must assess each jurisdiction's risks where they vary from the risks facing the entire planning area.	App. A	3-26 (worksheet) 4-5	Montana: Satisfactory – there is only one smaller community in the county and where different they were discussed.  FEMA:

FEMA Region VIII Local Mitigation Plans Part 3 Plan Review Criteria Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 44 CFR Parts 201 and 206; Interim Final Rule

Section from the Interim	Requirement as taken from	Indicate where the information is located in the Basic Plan and/or Annex and	For further explanation and examples see Page # indicated below from the State and Local Plan Interim Criteria Under the	Scoring System  Met/Not Met Unsatisfactory Needs Improvement Satisfactory Outstanding  STATE/FEMA Reviewer Comments
Final Rule Part 201	the Interim Final Rule	Section or Page #(s)	Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 Document	OTATE/I EIMA Reviewer Gomments
Mitigation Strategy §201.6(c)(3	The mitigation strategy is provided [based on existing authorities, policies, programs and resources, and its ability to expand on and improve these existing tools.]		No Specific Guidance	
Local Hazard Mitigation Goals	[The hazard mitigation strategy shall include: a]	6-1 thru 6-5	3-30	<b>Montana:</b> Outstanding – Their strategies are based on direct input from the communities and prioritized through a multi step
Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(i):	description of mitigation goals to reduce or avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards.	<del>-</del>	(worksheet) 4-6	process based on history, average vulnerability, maximum threat casualties and property damage, and probability.  FEMA:

		Indicate where the information is located in the	For further explanation and examples see Page # indicated below from the State	Scoring System  Met/Not Met Unsatisfactory Needs Improvement Satisfactory Outstanding
Section from the Interim Final Rule Part 201	Requirement as taken from the Interim Final Rule	Basic Plan and/or Annex and Section or Page #(s)	and Local Plan Interim Criteria Under the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 Document	STATE/FEMA Reviewer Comments
Identification and	[The mitigation strategy shall	6-3 thru		<b>Montana:</b> Outstanding – Both goals and objectives were
Analysis of Mitigation	include a] section that	6-8	3-34	prioritized and culminated in excellent work on pages 6-6 thru
Measures	identifies and analyzes a		( 11 0	6-8
Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(ii):	comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects being considered to reduce the effects of each hazard, with particular emphasis on new and existing buildings and infrastructure.		(worksheet) 4-6	FEMA:

Section from the Interim Final Rule Part 201	Requirement as taken from the Interim Final Rule	Indicate where the information is located in the Basic Plan and/or Annex and Section or Page #(s)	For further explanation and examples see Page # indicated below from the State and Local Plan Interim Criteria Under the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 Document	Scoring System  Met/Not Met Unsatisfactory Needs Improvement Satisfactory Outstanding  STATE/FEMA Reviewer Comments
Implementation of	[The mitigation strategy	6-5 thru		<b>Montana:</b> Outstanding – again see pages 6-5 thru 6-11
Mitigation Measures	section shall include] an	6-11	3-36	
Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iii):	action plan describing how the actions identified in section (c)(3)(ii) will be prioritized, implemented, and administered by the local jurisdiction. Prioritization shall include a special emphasis on the extent to which benefits are maximized according to a cost benefit review of the proposed projects and their associated costs.		(worksheet) 4-6	FEMA:
Multi-jurisdictional	For multi-jurisdictional plans,	6-9 thru	2.40	Montana: Same as above
Mitigation Strategy  Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iv):	there must be identifiable action items specific to the jurisdiction requesting FEMA approval or credit of the plan.	6-11	3-40 (worksheet) 4-6	FEMA:

Section from the Interim Final Rule Part 201	Requirement as taken from the Interim Final Rule	Indicate where the information is located in the Basic Plan and/or Annex and Section or Page #(s)	For further explanation and examples see Page # indicated below from the State and Local Plan Interim Criteria Under the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 Document	Scoring System  Met/Not Met Unsatisfactory Needs Improvement Satisfactory Outstanding  STATE/FEMA Reviewer Comments
Plan Maintenance			3-43	
Procedures			(worksheet)	
			4-6	
Monitoring, Evaluating,	[The plan maintenance	7-1		<b>Montana:</b> Satisfactory – I attended the meeting where this
and Updating the Plan	process shall include a section		3-44	was discussed and many of my suggestions are seen here.
D	describing the] method and		(worksheet)	DEM A.
Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(i):	schedule of monitoring, evaluating, and updating the		4-6	FEMA:
8201.0(c)(4)(1).	mitigation plan within a five-			
	year cycle.			
Implementation	[The plan shall include a]	6-10 thru		Montana: Satisfactory
Through Existing	process by which local	6-11	3-48	
Programs	governments incorporate the		( 11 )	FEMA:
	requirements of the mitigation		(worksheet) 4-6	
Requirement	plan into other planning		1.0	
§201.6(c)(4)(ii):	mechanisms such as			
	comprehensive or capital			
	improvement plans, when			
	appropriate			

Section from the Interim Final Rule Part 201	Requirement as taken from the Interim Final Rule	Indicate where the information is located in the Basic Plan and/or Annex and Section or Page #(s)	For further explanation and examples see Page # indicated below from the State and Local Plan Interim Criteria Under the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 Document	Scoring System  Met/Not Met Unsatisfactory Needs Improvement Satisfactory Outstanding  STATE/FEMA Reviewer Comments
Continued Public Involvement  Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(iii):	[The plan maintenance process shall include a] discussion on how the community will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process.	7-1	3-50 (worksheet) 4-6	Montana: Satisfactory  FEMA:
Additional State Requirements			(worksheet) 4-6	Not Applicable
*	Insert State Requirement		(worksheet) 4-6	Not Applicable
*	Insert State Requirement		(worksheet) 4-6	Not Applicable
*	Insert State Requirement		(worksheet) 4-6	Not Applicable

<sup>\*</sup>States that have additional requirements can add them in the appropriate sections of the plan or create a new section. States need then modify this worksheet to record the score for those requirements.

Name of Plan Silver Bow County, Montana Hazard Mitigation Plan

### **Local Mitigation Plan Review**

Local Requirement		
Local Plan Reviewed by:	Title:	Date:
Virginia Hanson	Risk Analyst, Butte-Silver Bow	2/15/04
	•	
Local Plan Submitted to the State by:	Title:	Date:
Pamela Pedersen	Consultant, Big Sky Hazard Management	4/1/04
-		
State Requirement		
State Reviewer:	Title:	Date:
Larry Akers	SHMO	
FEMA Requirement		
FEMA Requirement FEMA Reviewer:	Title:	Date:
	Title:	Date:
	Title:	Date:
FEMA Reviewer:	Title:	Date:
	Title:	Date:
FEMA Reviewer:	Title:	Date:
FEMA Reviewer:  Date Received in FEMA Region VIII	Title:	Date: